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#### Abstract

Thermocapillary flows of a fluid in a lamina with a rigid lower wall and a free upper surface, along which the temperature gradient is given in the radial direction, are investigated for large Marangoni numbers. Self-similar solutions which describe the axisymmetric flow regimes of a fluid without the circumferential velocity component are constructed numerically and asymptotically for a system of Prandtl equations. It is shown that a pair of new self-similar flow regimes of a fluid with rotation branches off from the regimes obtained. The new regimes ere calculated numerically and asymptotically.


1. The steady-state problem of a thermocapillary flow of an incompressible fluid in a thin horizontal layer bounded by a rigid wall $S$ from below and by a free boundary $\Gamma$ from above, on which a nonzero temperature gradient is given for small viscosity ( $\nu \rightarrow 0$ ) and thermal-diffusivity ( $\chi \rightarrow 0$ ) coefficients, is described by the system

$$
(\boldsymbol{v}, \nabla) \boldsymbol{v}=-\rho^{-1} \nabla p+\nu \Delta \boldsymbol{v}+\boldsymbol{g}, \quad \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \nabla T=\chi \Delta T, \quad \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{v}=0
$$

with the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{gathered}
p=2 \nu \rho \boldsymbol{n} \Pi \boldsymbol{n}-\sigma\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right)+p_{*}, \quad(r, \theta, z) \in \Gamma, \\
2 \nu \rho[\Pi \boldsymbol{n}-(\boldsymbol{n} \Pi \boldsymbol{n}) \boldsymbol{n}]=\nabla_{\Gamma} \sigma, \quad \boldsymbol{v} \cdot \boldsymbol{n}=0, \quad(r, \theta, z) \in \Gamma, \\
T=T_{\Gamma}, \quad(r, \theta, z) \in \Gamma, \quad \boldsymbol{v}=T-T_{S}=0, \quad(r, \theta, z) \in S .
\end{gathered}
$$

Here $\boldsymbol{v}=\left(v_{\tau}, v_{\theta}, v_{z}\right)$ is the velocity vector, $(r, \theta, z)$ are the cylindrical coordinates, $\boldsymbol{g}=\left(0,0,-g_{t}\right)$, where $g_{t}$ is the acceleration of gravity, $T$ is the temperature, $\boldsymbol{n}$ is the unit vector of the external normal to a free boundary $\Gamma, \Pi$ is the strain-rate tensor, $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ are the principal curvatures of the surface $\Gamma, T_{S}$ is the wall temperature, $p_{*}$ and $T_{\Gamma}$ are the specified pressure and temperature on the free boundary, $\nabla_{\Gamma}=\nabla-(\boldsymbol{n}, \nabla) \boldsymbol{n}$ is the gradient along $\Gamma$, and $\sigma=\sigma_{0}-\left|\sigma_{T}\right|\left(T-T_{*}\right)$ is the surface-tension coefficient which linearly depends on the temperature, where $\sigma_{0}, \sigma_{T}$, and $T_{*}$ are known constants. The axial-symmetry conditions mean that $\boldsymbol{v}$, $p$, and $T$ do not depend on the circumferential coordinate $\theta$.

Upon irregular heating of the free boundary $\Gamma$, surface tangent stresses arise on this boundary due to the thermocapillary Marangoni effect. These stresses lead to the formation of nonlinear boundary layers as $\nu \rightarrow 0$ and $\chi \rightarrow 0$.

We consider a thermocapillary flow of a fluid in a lamina whose thickness is of the order of the thickness of the boundary layer $O(\varepsilon)$. We note that the flows induced by the Marangoni effect in the layers of thickness $O(\varepsilon)$ which are limited by rigid and free boundaries were studied by the author in [1], and Anderson et al. [2] studied the flows in the layers between two rigid walls with the use of the Prandtl equations. Pukhnachev [3] constructed unsteady-state self-similar solutions which describe the flows of a fluid in the boundary layer near the free boundary.
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We consider a thermocapillary flow of a fluid in a thin viscous layer of thickness $O(\varepsilon)$ with the use a system of Prandtl equations [1]. We write this system in cylindrical coordinates, taking into account that $\boldsymbol{v}, p$, and $T$ do not depend on the circumferential coordinate $\theta$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
v_{r} \frac{\partial v_{r}}{\partial r}+v_{z} \frac{\partial v_{\tau}}{\partial z}-\frac{v_{\theta}^{2}}{r}=\nu \frac{\partial^{2} v_{r}}{\partial z^{2}}-\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p^{\prime}}{\partial r}, \quad v_{r} \frac{\partial v_{\theta}}{\partial r}+v_{z} \frac{\partial v_{\theta}}{\partial z}+\frac{v_{r} v_{\theta}}{r}=\nu \frac{\partial^{2} v_{\theta}}{\partial z^{2}}  \tag{1.1}\\
\frac{\partial p^{\prime}}{\partial z}=0, \quad \frac{\partial\left(r v_{\tau}\right)}{\partial r}+\frac{\partial\left(r v_{z}\right)}{\partial z}=0, \quad v_{r} \frac{\partial T}{\partial r}+v_{z} \frac{\partial T}{\partial z}=\chi \frac{\partial^{2} T}{\partial z^{2}}
\end{gather*}
$$

Here $p^{\prime}=p+\rho g_{t} z$. For system (1.1), the following boundary conditions at the rigid wall $z=0$ and at the free boundary $z=\zeta$ are used in a boundary-layer approximation:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\rho \nu \frac{\partial v_{r}}{\partial z}=\frac{\partial \sigma}{\partial r}, \quad \frac{\partial v_{\theta}}{\partial z}=0, \quad v \cdot \boldsymbol{n}=0 \quad(z=\zeta) \\
p^{\prime}=\rho g_{t} z-\sigma\left(k_{1}+k_{2}\right)+2 \nu \rho \frac{\partial v_{n}}{\partial n} p_{*}, \quad T=T_{\Gamma} \quad(z=\zeta)  \tag{1.2}\\
v_{r}=v_{\theta}=v_{z}=0, \quad T=T_{S} \quad(z=0)
\end{gather*}
$$

The boundary conditions for $z=\zeta$ are the dynamic conditions for the tangent and normal stresses and the kinematic condition on the free boundary $\Gamma$. We note that the tangent stresses on $\Gamma$ are caused by the Marangoni effect.
2. We construct a self-similar solution of system (1.1), (1.2) provided that the temperature on the free boundary depends only on the radial coordinate according to a quadratic law $T_{\Gamma}=0.5 A_{\Gamma} r^{2}$. In this case, the tangent stresses on $\Gamma$ act only in the radial direction and are absent in the circumferential direction. We present the self-similar solution of system (1.1)

$$
\begin{gathered}
v_{r}=r \Phi^{\prime}(\xi) \nu h L^{-2}, \quad v_{\theta}=r g(\xi) \nu h L^{-2}, \quad v_{z}=2 \Phi(\xi) \nu h^{2} L^{-1} \\
T=0.5 r^{2} A_{\Gamma} T_{1}(\xi), \quad \frac{\partial p}{\partial r}=\rho q r \nu^{2} L^{-2} h^{2}, \quad \xi=1-\frac{z}{H}
\end{gathered}
$$

Here $H$ is the thickness of the layer, $L=\left(\rho \nu^{2} A_{\Gamma}^{-1}\left|\sigma_{T}\right|^{-1}\right)^{1 / 3}$ is the scale of length, and $h=H / L$ is the dimensionless parameter. The boundary conditions on the free boundary are fulfilled if $\zeta=H=$ const and $p_{*}$ depends on the coordinate $r$ according to a quadratic law. We note that the free boundary is rectilinear in this approximation, and the layer has a constant thickness of the order $\nu^{2 / 3}$. Obviously, we have $\varepsilon=O\left(\nu^{2 / 3}\right)$.

The self-similar solution obtained describes the thermocapillary flow of a fluid only near the axis of symmetry $O z$ and is not extended to the case of large values of the radial coordinate $r$.

The functions $\Phi(\xi), g(\xi)$, and $T_{1}(\xi)$ and the parameter $q$ are determined from the boundary-value problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Phi^{\prime \prime \prime}=\lambda\left(\Phi^{\prime 2}-2 \Phi \Phi^{\prime \prime}-g^{2}+q\right), \quad g^{\prime \prime}=2 \lambda\left(\Phi^{\prime} g-\Phi g^{\prime}\right), \quad q^{\prime}=0 \\
T_{1}^{\prime \prime}=2 \lambda \operatorname{Pr}\left(\Phi^{\prime} T_{1}-\Phi T_{1}^{\prime}\right), \quad \Phi(0)=0, \quad \Phi^{\prime \prime}(0)=1  \tag{2.1}\\
g^{\prime}(0)=0, \quad T_{1}(0)=1, \quad \Phi(1)=\Phi^{\prime}(1)=g(1)=T_{1}(1)=0
\end{gather*}
$$

It is assumed that $\lambda=h^{3}$ is the specific parameter, $\operatorname{Pr}$ is the Prandtl number, and the tangent stresses on the free boundary are directed toward the axis of symmetry.

The solution of system (2.1), which describes fluid flow with a zero circumferential velocity component, is denoted by $\Phi_{0}(\xi, \lambda), q_{0}(\lambda)$, and $g_{0} \equiv 0$. For finite $\lambda$, this solution is obtained numerically. Curve 1 in Fig. 1 refers to the parameter $p_{0}=-q_{0} h^{2}$ versus the dimensionless thickness of the layer $h$. For small $\lambda$, expanding the function $\Phi_{0}(\xi, \lambda)$ into a power series of the variable $\xi$ and retaining three terms, we find the following asymptotic values $(\lambda \rightarrow 0)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{0}=-\xi(\xi-1)^{2} / 4+o(1), \quad q_{0}=-1.5 / \lambda+o(1) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $h=0.5$, the first three significant figures of the numerical and asymptotic values of $q_{0}$ coincide. For large $\lambda$, the solution is constructed by the method of stitching the asymptotic expansions:

$$
\Phi_{0}=0.5 \xi(\xi-1)+\lambda^{-1 / 2} g_{a}(\eta)+O\left(\lambda^{-1}\right), \quad q_{0}=-1 / 4+O\left(\lambda^{-1 / 2}\right) \quad(\lambda \rightarrow \infty)
$$

Here $\eta=(1-\xi) \lambda^{-1 / 2}$, and the function $g_{a}(\eta)$ is defined from the boundary-value problem

$$
g_{a}^{\prime \prime \prime}=2 g_{a} g_{a}^{\prime \prime}-g_{a}^{\prime 2}+\eta g_{a}^{\prime \prime}+g_{a}^{\prime}, \quad g_{a}(0)=g_{a}^{\prime}(0)-0.5=g_{a}^{\prime}(\infty)=0
$$

A numerical calculation yields $g_{a}^{\prime \prime}(0)=0.5478$.
3. We show that, for a certain $\lambda$, two symmetric solutions with a nonzero circumferential velocity component $v_{\theta} \neq 0$ bifurcates from the solution $\Phi_{0}, q_{0}$. To do this, we first consider the eigenvalue problem obtained by linearizing problem (2.1) near the solution $\Phi_{0}, q_{0}$ :

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
f_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}=\lambda\left(2 \Phi_{0}^{\prime} f_{1}-2 \Phi_{0} f_{1}^{\prime \prime}-2 \Phi_{0}^{\prime \prime} f_{1}+q_{1}\right), & g_{1}^{\prime \prime}=2 \lambda\left(\Phi_{0}^{\prime} g_{1}-\Phi_{0} g_{1}^{\prime}\right), \quad q_{1}^{\prime}=0  \tag{3.1}\\
f_{1}(0)=f_{1}^{\prime \prime}(0)=g_{1}^{\prime}(0)=0, & f_{1}(1)=f_{1}^{\prime}(1)=g_{1}(1)=0
\end{array}
$$

The resulting boundary-value problem (with allowance for the corresponding problem for the function $T_{1}$ ) was investigated numerically for finite values of $\lambda$ and asymptotically for small and large $\lambda$. The calculations showed that, for finite values of $\lambda$, there is only one simple eigenvalue of $\lambda_{0}=11.222$ to which corresponds the eigenfunction $g_{1}=\varphi(\xi), f_{1}=0, q_{1}=0$, and $T_{1}=0$ with the normalization condition $\varphi(0)=1$. On the segment $[0,1]$, with increase in $\xi$, the positive function $\varphi(\xi)$ decreases monotonically from unity to zero. A study of problem (3.1) by the method of stitching the asymptotic expansions showed that the eigenvalues are absent as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. For small $\lambda$, a study by means of formulas (2.2) showed that problem (3.1) has no eigenvalues either. This problem has only one simple eigenvalue for all $\lambda \in(0, \infty)$.

We pass to the derivation of a bifurcation equation for the boundary-value problem (2.1) with the use of the method of [4] and by representing the solution in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(\xi, \lambda)=\Phi_{0}(\xi, \lambda)+\alpha f(\xi, \lambda, \alpha), \quad g(\xi, \lambda)=\alpha G(\xi, \lambda, \alpha), \quad q=q_{0}(\lambda)+\alpha Q(\lambda, \alpha) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f, G$, and $Q$ are the desired functions and $\alpha$ is a parameter chosen in such a way that the condition $G=1$ is satisfied for $\xi=0$. We introduce the linear operators

$$
\begin{gathered}
L=D^{3}-\lambda\left(2 \Phi_{0}^{\prime} D-2 \Phi_{0} D^{2}-2 \Phi_{0}^{\prime \prime} I\right) \\
K=D^{2}-2 \lambda\left(\Phi_{0}^{\prime} I-\Phi_{0} D\right), \quad L_{0}=L, \quad K_{0}=K \quad\left(\lambda=\lambda_{0}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Here $D=d / d \xi$ and $I$ is the unit operator.
The functions $f, G$, and $Q$ are determined from the nonlinear boundary-value problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
L_{1}(f, g, Q) \equiv L f-\lambda Q-\lambda \alpha\left(f^{\prime 2}-2 f f^{\prime \prime}-G^{2}\right)=0 \\
K_{1}(f, G) \equiv K G-2 \lambda \alpha\left(f^{\prime} G-f G^{\prime}\right)=0, \quad Q^{\prime}=0  \tag{3.3}\\
f(0)=f^{\prime \prime}(0)=G^{\prime}(0)=0, \quad f(1)=f^{\prime}(1)=G(1)=0
\end{gather*}
$$

We note that, for $\lambda=\lambda_{0}$ and $\alpha=0$, system (3.3) has the solution $f=0, G=\varphi(\xi)$, and $Q=0$, since it coincides with the eigenvalue problem (3.1).

We now consider the Cauchy problem

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{1}(f, G, Q)=0, \quad K_{1}(f, G)=0, \quad Q^{\prime}=0  \tag{3.4}\\
& f=0, \quad f^{\prime}=p 1, \quad f^{\prime \prime}=0, \quad G=1, \quad G^{\prime}=0, \quad Q=p 2 \quad(\xi=0) \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

The parameters $p 1$ and $p 2$ are not yet known and are found when the boundary conditions in (3.3) on the rigid wall are satisfied for $\xi=1$.

We note that, for $\lambda=\lambda_{0}$ and $\alpha=0$, problem (3.4), (3.5) has the solution $f=0, G=\varphi(\xi), Q=0$, and $p 1=p 2=0$. We now study the solution of this problem for values of $(\lambda, \alpha)$ close to $\left(\lambda_{0}, 0\right)$. Obviously, this
solution is the solution of the boundary-value problem (3.3) if and only if the functions $f, G$, and $Q$ satisfy the boundary conditions for $\xi=1$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(1, \lambda, \alpha, p 1, p 2)=0, \quad f^{\prime}(1, \lambda, \alpha, p 1, p 2)=0, \quad G(1, \lambda, \alpha, p 1, p 2)=0 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The parameters $p 1$ and $p 2$ are uniquely determined from the first two equations of system (3.6). This is established by means of the known theorem of implicit functions [5]. At the point $\lambda=\lambda_{0}$ and $\alpha=0$, the calculation gave a nonzero functional determinant $D\left(f, f^{\prime}\right) / D(p 1, p 2)$. In this calculation of the determinant, the Cauchy problems for the derivatives of the functions $f$ and $\partial f / \partial \xi$ with respect to the parameters $p 1$ and $p 2$ were solved numerically.

Having determined the parameters $p 1$ and $p 2$ from the first two equations of system (3.6) and substituting them into the third equations, we derive the bifurcation equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
b(\lambda, \alpha) \equiv G(1, \lambda, \alpha, p 1(\lambda, \alpha), p 2(\lambda, \alpha))=0 . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the method of [4], we expand the function $b(\lambda, \alpha)$ into the Taylor finite series in the neighborhood of the point $\lambda=\lambda_{0}$ and $\alpha=0$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
b(\lambda, \alpha)=b\left(\lambda_{0}, 0\right)+\left(\lambda-\lambda_{0}\right) b_{\lambda}+\alpha b_{\alpha}+0.5 \alpha^{2} b_{\alpha \alpha}+\ldots=0 \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $b_{\lambda}, b_{\alpha}$, and $b_{\alpha \alpha}$ are the derivatives of the function $b(\lambda, \alpha)$, with respect to the parameters $\lambda$ and $\alpha$ which were calculated at the point $\lambda=\lambda_{0}, \alpha=0$.

We calculate the coefficients of the series (3.8). We show that $b\left(\lambda_{0}, 0\right)=0$. To do this, we pass to the limit for $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{0}$ and $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ in (3.7) and to the Cauchy problem (3.4), (3.5) and take into account the conditions $f=0, f^{\prime}=0$, and $G=0$ for $\xi=1$. Analysis of the problems obtained shows that $G=\varphi(\xi)$ and $p l=p 2=0$ for $\alpha=0$ and $\lambda=\lambda_{0}$, and, hence, $b\left(\lambda_{0}, 0\right)=\varphi(1)=0$.

The numerical value of the coefficient $b_{\lambda}$ in (3.8) is found using the relation

$$
b_{\lambda}=\frac{\partial G}{\partial \lambda}+\frac{\partial G}{\partial p 1} \frac{\partial p 1}{\partial \lambda}+\frac{\partial G}{\partial p 2} \frac{\partial p 2}{\partial \lambda} \quad\left(\lambda=\lambda_{0}, \alpha=0\right) .
$$

It is noteworthy that $\partial G / \partial p 1=0$ and $\partial G / \partial p 2=0\left(\lambda=\lambda_{0}\right.$ and $\left.\alpha=0\right)$. This is found by studying the Cauchy problems obtained by differentiating problem (3.4), (3.5) with respect to the parameters $p 1$ and $p 2$. Differentiating (3.4) and (3.5) with respect to $\lambda$ and letting $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{0}$ and $\alpha \rightarrow 0$, we derive the following Cauchy problem for $G_{\lambda} \equiv \partial G / \partial \lambda$ :

$$
K_{0} G_{\lambda}=2 \lambda_{0}\left(\Phi_{0 \lambda}^{\prime} G-\Phi_{0 \lambda} G^{\prime}\right)+2\left(\Phi_{0}^{\prime} \varphi-\Phi_{0} \varphi^{\prime}\right), \quad G_{\lambda}=0, \quad G_{\lambda}^{\prime}=0 \quad(\xi=0)
$$

The function $\Phi_{0 \lambda}$ is determined from the boundary-value problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
L_{0} \Phi_{0 \lambda}-\lambda_{0} q_{0 \lambda}=\Phi_{0}^{\prime 2}-2 \Phi_{0} \Phi_{0}^{\prime \prime}+q_{0}, \quad q_{0 \lambda}^{\prime}=0 \\
\Phi_{0 \lambda}=0, \quad \Phi_{0 \lambda}^{\prime \prime}=0 \quad(\xi=0), \quad \Phi_{0 \lambda}=\Phi_{0 \lambda}^{\prime}=0 \quad(\xi=1)
\end{gathered}
$$

A numerical calculation yields $q_{0 \lambda}=0.0108, \Phi_{0 \lambda}^{\prime}(0)=-0.0024$, and $G_{\lambda}(1)=-0.1629$. Thus, we have $b_{\lambda}=G_{\lambda}\left(1, \lambda_{0}, 0\right)=-0.1629$.

We note that $b_{\alpha}=0$ in relation (3.8). This is established when the Cauchy problems are studied for the derivatives $\partial G / \partial \alpha, \partial G / \partial p 1$, and $\partial G / \partial p 2$.

The coefficient $b_{\alpha \alpha}$ in (3.8) is found taking into account that $b_{\alpha \alpha}=G_{\alpha \alpha}$ for $\lambda=\lambda_{0}$ and $\alpha=0$. The function $G_{\alpha \alpha}$ is found by numerically solving the Cauchy problem

$$
K_{0} G_{\alpha \alpha}=4 \lambda_{0}\left(f_{\alpha}^{\prime} \varphi-f_{\alpha} \varphi^{\prime}\right) \quad\left(\lambda=\lambda_{0}, \alpha=0\right), \quad G_{\alpha \alpha}=0, \quad G_{\alpha \alpha}^{\prime}=0 \quad(\xi=0)
$$

The boundary-value problem for $f_{\alpha}$ is obtained by replacing the functions $f_{1}$ and $q_{1}$ by $f_{\alpha}$ and $Q_{\alpha}+\varphi^{2}$, respectively, in (3.1). A numerical calculation gives $G_{\alpha \alpha}=6.6987\left(\xi=1, \lambda=\lambda_{0}\right.$, and $\alpha=0$ ).

Using Newton's diagram [5], one can find the parameter $\alpha$ from the bifurcation equation (3.8):

$$
\alpha= \pm\left(2 b_{\lambda} b_{\alpha \alpha}^{-1}\left(\lambda_{0}-\lambda\right)\right)^{1 / 2}+\ldots \quad\left(\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{0}\right)
$$
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As a result, two symmetrical solutions, which differ from each other only by the direction of the circumferential velocity component and exist for all $h>h_{0}=2.2388\left(\lambda>\lambda_{0}\right)$, bifurcate from the solution $\Phi_{0}$ and $q_{0}$ at the point $\lambda=\lambda_{0}$.

The asymptotic behavior of the bifurcating solutions is constructed as $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_{0}$. Introducing the small parameter $\varepsilon_{1}=\left(\lambda-\lambda_{0}\right)^{1 / 2}$, we present the solution of problem (2.1) as the series

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Phi=\Phi_{00}(\xi)+\varepsilon_{1} F_{1}+\varepsilon_{1}^{2}\left(\Phi_{02}+F_{2}\right)+\ldots \\
g=\varepsilon_{1} G_{1}+\varepsilon_{1}^{2} G_{2}+\varepsilon_{1}^{3} G_{3}+\ldots, \quad q=q_{00}+\varepsilon_{1} Q_{1}+\varepsilon_{1}^{2}\left(q_{02}+Q_{2}\right)+\ldots \tag{3.9}
\end{gather*}
$$

The representation of the functions $\Phi_{0}(\xi, \lambda)$ and $q_{0}(\lambda)$ is used here in the form of series with respect to the degrees of the parameter $\varepsilon_{1}$; the coefficients of these series are found from the relations $\Phi_{00}=\Phi_{0}\left(\xi, \lambda_{0}\right)$, $q_{00}=q_{0}\left(\lambda_{0}\right), \Phi_{02}=\partial \Phi_{0} / \partial \lambda$, and $q_{02}=\partial q_{0} / \partial \lambda\left(\lambda=\lambda_{0}\right)$.

The series (3.9) are substituted into system (2.1) and the coefficients at $\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{1}^{2}, \ldots$ are equated to zero. For $F_{1}, G_{1}$, and $Q_{1}$, an eigenvalue problem is derived. This problem is obtained from (3.1) by replacing the functions $f_{1}, g_{1}$, and $q_{1}$ by $F_{1}, G_{1}$, and $Q_{1}$, respectively. The latter problem is solved in the form $F_{1}=Q_{1}=0$ and $G_{1}=c_{1} \varphi(\xi)$, where $\varphi$ is the normalized eigenfunction determined above, and $c_{1}$ is the constant determined from the solvability conditions for the boundary-value problem in the third approximation. Now we derive boundary-value problems for the functions $F_{2}, G_{2}$, and $Q_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
L_{0} F_{2}=\lambda_{0}\left(Q_{2}^{2}-G_{1}^{2}\right), \quad K_{0} G_{2}=0, \quad Q_{2}^{\prime}=0, \\
F_{2}=F_{2}^{\prime \prime}=G_{2}^{\prime}=0 \quad(\xi=0), \quad F_{2}=F_{2}^{\prime}=G_{2}=0 \quad(\xi=1) .
\end{gathered}
$$

A numerical calculation yields $Q_{2}=0.7183 c_{1}^{2}$ and $F_{2}^{\prime}(0)=0.3629 c_{1}^{2}$. For $G_{2}$, we obtain $G_{2}=c_{2} \varphi$, where the constant $c_{2}$ is determined by studying the solvability conditions for the boundary-value problems in the fourth approximation. Writing the boundary-value problem for the function $G_{3}$ and satisfying its solvability condition, we find the constant $c_{1}$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
c_{1}^{2}=-I_{1} / I_{2}, \quad I_{2}=\lambda_{0} \int_{0}^{1} \varphi *\left(\tilde{F}_{2}^{\prime} \varphi-\tilde{F}_{2} \varphi^{\prime}\right) d \xi \\
I_{1}=\int_{0}^{1} \varphi_{*}\left(\Phi_{00}^{\prime} \varphi-\Phi_{00} \varphi^{\prime}+\lambda_{0} \Phi_{02}^{\prime} \varphi-\lambda_{0} \Phi_{02} \varphi^{\prime}\right) d \xi
\end{gathered}
$$

Here $\tilde{F}_{2}=F_{2} c_{1}^{-2}$, and $\varphi_{*}$ is determined from the boundary-value problem $K_{*} \varphi_{*}=0, \varphi_{*}^{\prime}(0)=\varphi_{*}(1)=0$, where $K_{*}=D^{2}-2 \lambda_{0}\left(2 \Phi_{00}^{\prime} I+\Phi_{00} D\right)$ is the operator conjugate to $K_{0}$. A numerical calculation yields $c_{1}^{2}=0.0486$.

For finite values of the difference $\lambda-\lambda_{0}$ (for all $\lambda>\lambda_{0}$ ), the bifurcated solutions are constructed by numerically integrating system (2.1). We note that these solutions show only different signs of the function $g(\xi, \lambda)$. This means that one bifurcated solution is obtained from another by changing the direction of the circumferential velocity component $v_{\theta}$, whereas $v_{\theta}=0$ for the "basic" solution. Curve 2 in Fig. 1 shows the dependence of $p_{0}=-q h^{2}$ on the layer thickness $h$ for a bifurcating solution. Curves 1 and 2 in Fig. 2 show, respectively, the functions $g(\xi)$ and $\Phi^{\prime}(\xi)$ for bifurcating solutions, and curve 3 refers to the diagram $\Phi^{\prime}(\xi)$ for the "basic" solution ( $g=0$ ) when $\lambda=27$, which corresponds to $h=3$. Evidently, the radial velocity component changes the direction inside the layer once, whereas, monotonically decreasing from the free boundary to the rigid wall, the circumferential component preserves its direction.
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